

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI  
EASTERN DIVISION

OLLIE M. HOLLMAN,

Plaintiff,

v.

TEAMSTER LOCAL 682,

Defendant.

)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)

No. 4:07-CV-1114 CAS

**MEMORANDUM AND ORDER**

This matter is before the Court on plaintiff's motion of for appointment of counsel. [Doc. 4]  
The motion will be denied without prejudice.

"A pro se litigant has no statutory or constitutional right to have counsel appointed in a civil case." Stevens v. Redwing, 146 F.3d 538, 546 (8th Cir. 1998). When determining whether to appoint counsel for an indigent litigant, the Court considers relevant factors, such as the complexity of the case, the ability of the pro se litigant to investigate the facts, the existence of conflicting testimony, and the ability of the pro se litigant to present his or her claim. Id.

After reviewing these factors, the Court finds that the appointment of counsel is not warranted at this time. This case is neither factually nor legally complex. Plaintiff has not alleged that she is hindered from investigating the facts of this case. And it is too early to determine whether conflicting testimony exists. Consequently, the motion shall be denied without prejudice.

Accordingly,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel is **DENIED**  
without prejudice. [Doc. 4]

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Charles A. Shaw", written in black ink.

---

**CHARLES A. SHAW**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

Dated this 28th day of June, 2007.